IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR(CHHATTISGARH)

WRIT PETITION NO. 3549 OF 2003

PETITIONER : Chandramani Rathore, son of late Shri Rikhiram Rathore, aged about 45 years,Occupation- Asstt. Sub Inspector,(M), Posted at District Police Office,Janjgir Champa, District-Janjgir-Champa,(C.G.)

P.R. No. 3354/03
Presented by Shri Sanjeet Sharma
dated 29/10/03

V E R S U S

RESPONDENTS : 1. State of Chhattisgarh, through, Secretary, Home Affairs,Raipur, (C.G.)

: 2. Director General of Police, Raipur,(C.G.)

: 3. Assistant Inspector General of Police, Raipur,(C.G.) (Personnel)Police Headquarter,

: 4. Superintendent of Police, Janjgir-Champa, District-Janjgir Champa,(C.G.)

RECEIVED COPY
29/10/03
Clerk to A.G. Bilaspur

WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLES 226/227 OF THE CONSTITUTION OF INDIA.

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

मामला क्रमांक W.P. No. 3549/2003 **सन्** 200

आदेश पत्रक (पूर्वानुबद्ध)

आदेश का दिनांक तथा आदेश क्रमांक	हस्ताक्षर सहित आदेश	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अंतिम आदेश
	09.02.2004 Shri Awadh Tripathi, counsel for the petitioner. Shri P. Das, P.L. for the State. The petitioner has preferred this petition under Article 226 & 227 of the Constitution of India being aggrieved by the inaction and discriminatory treatment given to the petitioner by the respondents. The petitioner's case is that he is working as Assistant Sub-Inspector (M) since 21.11.1993 and after considering the case for regularization by the Departmental Committee the petitioner's services were regularized and the petitioner is continuously rendering his services and his name appeared in the gradation list published in the year 1999 & 2000 at Serial No.316 & 298 respectively. However, all of a sudden his name disappeared from the gradation list (Annexure P-3) issued by the Department on 01.03.2001 and thereafter from the gradation list (Annexure P-12) issued on 19.07.2003 and in the meantime vide Annexure P-9 some juniors persons to the petitioner have been promoted to the post of Sub-Inspector. Being aggrieved by this discriminatory and illegal treatment meted to the petitioner, the petitioner moved a representation but to of no avail. Even vide Annexure P-5 the Superintendent of Police, Janjgir-Champa also wrote a letter to the Deputy Inspector General of Police, Police Headquarters, Raipur on 13.06.2002, under whom the petitioner is working; even then the petitioner's name has not been included in the gradation list.	

GRPRJ—FS/78 —9/2000—50,000.

XI-HC-22

(59)

उच्च न्यायालय, छत्तीसगढ़, बिलासपुर

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P. 3549/2003 सन् 200

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित (2)	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	Thereafter, the Superintendent of Police, Janjgir-Champa continuously writing the letters i.e. letters dated 13.06.2002 (Annexure P-5), 03.09.2002 (Annexure P-8) & 16.06.2003 (Annexure P-11), but the name of the petitioner has not yet been included in the gradation list. It is really surprising as to how the name of the petitioner is missing from the gradation list, though he is a permanent employee of the department. In view of the above, in the given situation the petitioner is allowed to make a representation to respondent No.2 within a period of 10 days from today raising all his grievances and respondent No.2 in turn shall decide the representation within a further period of thirty days and pass an appropriate order taking into consideration the service record, conditions of the service and seniority of the petitioner, and all the grounds taken by the petitioner in his representation; as to why his name is not appearing in the gradation list. The respondent No.2 is directed to pass an appropriate order in an objective manner regarding inclusion of name of the petitioner in the gradation list. With the above direction, the petition stands disposed of. Consequently, M.W.P. No.10,161/2003 for urgent hearing also stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules. **Sd/-** **L.C. Bhadoo** **Judge**	

Roshan/-

[पीछे देखिये